UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,452	12/29/2004	Olivier Bremond	5551	9720
	7590 06/29/200 AND MATTARE, LT		EXAMINER	
10 POST OFFICE ROAD - SUITE 100 SILVER SPRING, MD 20910			WALSH, DANIEL I	
SILVER SPRIN	NG, MID 20910		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2887	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/29/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/519,452	BREMOND ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	DANIEL WALSH	2887				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addi	ess			
THE REPLY FILED <u>17 June 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidav eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	rit, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires <u>5</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(ft)	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailir b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH).	ng date of the final rejectio E FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	n. .ED WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	te extension fee e action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of the				
	out prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered be	20102			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beth appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying tr	ie issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally re	ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	·					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•	-			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an ex	planation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after ϵ	entry is below or attache	ed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but see note.		n condition for allowand	ce because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
	/DANIEL WALSH/ Primary Examiner, Art l	Jnit 2887				

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

NOTE: The Examiner maintains his Final Office Action (mail date 2-9-09). In response to the Applicants argument that Fujiewara/Berson fail to teach the ink comprising a "material-based security element" the Examiner respectfully disagrees. The claims do not recite a specific definition, and therefore, the claims are not bound by a specific definition, as the Examiner maintains that an invisible/luminescent ink (security) of Berson, as cited in the Office Action, is broadly interpreted as a material based security element, as it provides, based on its material makeup, a degree of security (i.e. invisible/covert/fluorescent).